## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

VS.

AIRRINGTON L. SYKES,

Defendant.

No. 17-CR-2009-LRR

ORDER REGARDING
MAGISTRATE'S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION
CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S
GUILTY PLEA

## I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On March 2, 2017, a one-count Indictment was filed against Defendant Airrington L. Sykes. On April 24, 2017, Defendant appeared before United States Chief Magistrate Judge C.J. Williams and entered a conditional plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment. On April 25, 2017, Judge Williams filed a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the court accept Defendant's conditional guilty plea to Count 1. On April 25, 2017, both parties waived objections to the Report and Recommendation. The court, therefore, undertakes the necessary review of Judge Williams's recommendation to accept Defendant's conditional plea in this case.

## II. ANALYSIS

Pursuant to statute, this court's standard of review for a magistrate judge's report and recommendation is as follows:

A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made. A judge of the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Similarly, Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 59(b) provides for

review of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation on dispositive motions, where objections are made, as follows:

The district judge must consider de novo any objection to the magistrate judge's recommendation. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommendation, receive further evidence, or resubmit the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(b)(3).<sup>1</sup>

In this case, Defendant has waived his right to object to the Report and Recommendation, and it appears to the court upon review of Judge Williams's findings and conclusions that there is no ground to reject or modify them. Therefore, the court **ACCEPTS** Judge Williams's Report and Recommendation of April 25, 2017, and **ACCEPTS** Defendant's conditional plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**DATED** this 26th day of April, 2017.

LINDA R. READE, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> United States v. Cortez-Hernandez, No. 15-2314, 2016 WL 7174114, at \*3 (8th Cir. Dec. 9, 2016) (per curiam), suggests that a defendant may have the right to de novo review of a magistrate judge's recommendation to accept a plea of guilty even if no objection is filed. But see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b). The district court judge will undertake a de novo review of the Report and Recommendation if a written request for such review is filed within fourteen days after this order is filed.